arXiv:1612.06220v1 [math.GR] 19 Dec 2016

Lattices in amenable groups

Uri Bader*[1], Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace†[2], Tsachik Gelander‡[1], and Shahar Mozes§[3]

[1]Weizmann Institute, Rehovot
[2]Université catholique de Louvain
[3]Hebrew University of Jerusalem

December 14, 2016

Abstract

Let G be a locally compact amenable group. We say that G has **property (M)** if every closed subgroup of finite covolume in G is cocompact. A classical theorem of Mostow ensures that connected solvable Lie groups have property (M). We prove a non-Archimedean extension of Mostow's theorem by showing the amenable linear locally compact groups have property (M). However property (M) does not hold for all solvable locally compact groups: indeed, we exhibit an example of a metabelian locally compact group with a non-uniform lattice. We show that compactly generated metabelian groups, and more generally nilpotent-by-nilpotent groups, do have property (M). Finally, we highlight a connection of property (M) with the subtle relation between the analytic notions of strong ergodicity and the spectral gap.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Strong ergodicity and spectral gap **7**
2.1 Strong ergodicity of transitive actions 7
2.2 Spectral gap and weak cocompactness 9

*Supported in part by the ISF-Moked grant 2095/15 and the ERC grant 306706.
†F.R.S.-FNRS research associate, supported in part by the ERC grant 278469
‡Supported in part by the ISF-Moked grant 2095/15.
§Supported in part by the ISF-Moked grant 2095/15.

3 Exotic examples **10**
3.1 Lattices in restricted products 10
3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.11 12

4 Auxiliary assertions on locally compact groups **13**
4.1 Compact generation of cocompact subgroups 13
4.2 Compactly presented groups 13
4.3 Discrete cocompact normal subgroups 14
4.4 Compactly generated nilpotent tdlc groups 14
4.5 A cocompactness criterion 15
4.6 Locally elliptic groups 15
4.7 Locally p-elliptic groups 15
4.8 {Compact-by-discrete}-by-compact groups 17
4.9 Serre's covolume formula 17

5 Amenable groups with property (M) **19**
5.1 Compact extensions and property (M) 19
5.2 Central extensions 19
5.3 Discrete groups 20
5.4 Nilpotent groups 20
5.5 Solvable Noetherian groups 21
5.6 Locally elliptic groups with the bounded index property 23
5.7 Metabelian-by-compact groups 26
5.8 Nilpotent-by-nilpotent groups 27
5.9 On continuous finite-dimensional representations of p-adic Lie groups 29
5.10 On compact linear groups 30
5.11 Structure of amenable linear groups 31
5.12 Amenable linear groups have property (M) 35
5.12.1 Solvable Lie groups — Mostow's theorem revisited 35

1 Introduction

The starting point of this work is the following classical result due to Mostow [Mos62]:

Theorem 1.1 (Mostow)**.** *Let G be a solvable Lie group and H be a closed subgroup. Then G/H carries a G-invariant probability measure if and only if G/H is compact.*

Mostow's original proof is quite involved, and uses in an essential way the structure theory of solvable Lie groups and their Lie algebras. A simplified (but still Lie theoretic) proof due to Raghunathan, may be found in [Rag72, Theorem 3.1].

One direction of the equivalence in Theorem 1.1 is actually straightforward to establish for general amenable groups without invoking any structure results on Lie groups: if G is amenable then any compact G-space carries a G-invariant probability measure by the fixed point property of amenable groups. The present paper is devoted to the question whether the converse implication also holds for general amenable locally compact groups.

Question 1.2. *Let G be an amenable (σ-compact) locally compact group, and H a closed subgroup.*

Is it true that, if H is of finite covolume then it is cocompact?

We say that H is **of finite covolume**, or shorty **cofinite**, if H is closed and G/H carries a G-invariant probability measure. We say that H is **cocompact** if H is closed and G/H is compact.

Definition 1.3. Let G be a locally compact group. We will say that G has property (M) if every cofinite subgroup of G is cocompact.[1]

This paper focuses on the study of property (M) for amenable groups. In order to illustrate that, consider the most basic class of amenable groups, namely abelian groups. If G is abelian, any subgroup is normal. In particular, if H is a cofinite subgroup of G, then the quotient space G/H is a locally compact group carrying a finite invariant measure. This measure is thus proportional to the Haar measure on G/H, and it follows that G/H is compact since any non-compact group has infinite Haar measure. That argument can in fact be promoted to cover the case of all nilpotent locally compact groups, see Proposition 5.5. Mostow's theorem mentioned above provides a positive answer to the question in case G is a solvable Lie group. In fact, Mostow's (or Raghunathan's) proof can easily be adapted to cover the case of all amenable Lie groups. More generally, one has the following reduction due to Benoist–Quint [BQ14].

Theorem 1.4 (See Proposition 3.4 in [BQ14])**.** *Let G be an amenable locally compact group, and H be a closed subgroup of finite covolume. Then H is cocompact in $\overline{G^\circ H}$.*

In particular H is cocompact in G if and only if the closure of the image of H in the group of components G/G° is cocompact.

If the identity component G° is open in G, then G/G° is discrete so that any subgroup of finite covolume is obviously cocompact. This is in particular the case if G is a Lie group, so Mostow's theorem follows immediately from Theorem 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.4 relies on deep structure theory: one uses the solution of

[1] We have chosen the letter M since Mostow proved this property for solvable Lie groups after Malcev proved it for nilpotent Lie groups.

Hilbert's fifth problem to reduce to the case where G° is a Lie group. Structure theory of Lie groups ensures that a connected amenable Lie group is solvable-by-compact; one is then in a position to argue by induction on the derived length of the solvable radical, as Mostow did in his original proof.

As soon as one leaves the realm of almost connected groups, the algebraic structure of amenable (and even solvable) groups becomes more intricate. In order to illustrate this, let us mention two examples illustrating that, in contrast with the Lie group case, the intersection of a lattice in a compactly generated nilpotent (resp. solvable) locally compact group with the center (resp. the derived group) of the ambient group need not be a lattice.

Example 1.5. Let

$$H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}$$

be the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group over $\mathbf{Z}$, and let U be the upper unitriangular subgroup of compact group $\mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. Then $G = H \times U$ is compactly generated and 3-step nilpotent. Let $\varphi\colon H \to U$ be the group homomorphism defined by

$$\varphi(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let $\Gamma \leq H \times U = G$ be the graph of φ. Then Γ is a cocompact lattice in G. Moreover the center $Z(G)$ of G is non-compact, but the intersection of $\Gamma \cap Z(G)$ is trivial, and is thus not a lattice in $Z(G)$.

Example 1.6. Let $U = \varprojlim C_2 \wr C_{2^n}$ be the profinite wreath product of C_2 by $\mathbf{Z}_2 = \varprojlim C_{2^n}$, so that the lamplighter group $C_2 \wr \mathbf{Z}$ embeds as a dense subgroup of U. Let $G = D_\infty \times U$ be the direct product of the infinite dihedral group D_∞ with U, so that G is compactly generated and metabelian. Let $t_1 \in D_\infty$ be a generator of the infinite cyclic subgroup of index 2, and $t_2 \in U$ be a topological generator of the semi-direct factor $\mathbf{Z}_2$ of U. Then the infinite cyclic group $\Gamma = \langle (t_1, t_2) \rangle \leq G$ is a cocompact lattice in G. The closed derived group $\overline{[G, G]}$ is isomorphic to the direct product $\mathbf{Z} \times (\prod_{\mathbf{Z}} C_2)$, and intersects Γ trivially.

The locally compact groups in both examples above are actually linear. Therefore they must have property (M) by virtue of the following result, which provides a positive answer to Question 1.2 for linear groups and may be viewed as a non-Archimedean version of Mostow's theorem.

Theorem 1.7. *Let G be an amenable locally compact σ-compact group. If G has a continuous faithful representation $G \to \mathrm{GL}_d(k)$ over a locally compact field k (whose image is not required to be closed), then G has property (M).*

The proof of Theorem 1.7 relies on a description of the algebraic structure of amenable linear locally compact groups which is of independent interest, see Theorem 5.19 below.

As of today, there is however no structure theory encompassing *all* amenable locally compact groups. In trying to exploit the hypothesis of amenability in the question above, one is thus naturally led to looking for a more analytic formulation of the question. In order to achieve this, we need to recall some ergodic theoretic definitions.

A measure-preserving action of a group G on a probability space (X, m) is said to have the **spectral gap** if the unitary representation of G on the space $L^2_0(X, m)$ of (equivalence classes of) L^2-maps of zero integral does not contain almost invariant vectors. Following Margulis' terminology, a closed subgroup H of finite covolume in a σ-compact locally compact group G such that G/H has the spectral gap, is called **weakly cocompact**. This is motivated by the fact, due to Margulis [Mar91, Corollary III.1.10], that if H is cocompact, then it is automatically weakly cocompact. It turns out that if the ambient group is amenable, then the converse holds.

Proposition 1.8. *Let G be an amenable σ-compact locally compact group, and H be a closed subgroup of finite covolume. Then H is cocompact if and only if H is weakly cocompact.*

A closely related property of a probability measure preserving (p.m.p.) G-action on a space (X, m) is **strong ergodicity**: by definition, this is the property that for every sequence (B_n) of measurable subsets of X which is almost invariant in the sense that $\lim_n m(B_n \Delta g B_n) = 0$ for all $g \in G$, one has $\lim_n m(B_n)(1 - m(B_n)) = 0$. Strong ergodicity was introduced by Klaus Schmidt [Sch80] as an invariant under orbit equivalence for discrete groups. The following shows that strong ergodicity also pops up naturally in the context of non-discrete locally compact groups.

Proposition 1.9. *Let G be a locally compact group and H be a closed subgroup of finite covolume. Then the G-action on G/H is strongly ergodic.*

In other words, every continuous, transitive, p.m.p. action of a locally compact group is strongly ergodic.

It is well known, and easy to see, that if the G-action has the spectral gap, then it is strongly ergodic. The converse does not hold in general, as shown by Schmidt [Sch81, Example 2.7]. With Proposition 1.9 at hand, one also sees that if Γ is

a lattice in a locally compact group G which is not weakly cocompact, then the G-action on G/Γ is strongly ergodic without the spectral gap. The first examples of such lattices were constructed in the automorphism group of a regular locally finite tree by Bekka–Lubotzky [BL11], thereby providing non-discrete examples of strongly ergodic actions without the spectral gap.

However, the difference between strong ergodicity and the spectral gap for p.m.p. actions is rather subtle. In fact, there are significant classes of groups for which both properties are equivalent for all p.m.p. actions. This is for instance trivially the case for locally compact groups with Kazhdan's property (T); moreover, it is also the case for the class of countable amenable (discrete) groups, see [Sch81, Theorem 2.4]. Using Propositions 1.8 and 1.9, we can now reformulate Question 1.2 as follows.

Question 1.10. *Let G be an amenable (σ-compact) locally compact group with a measure-preserving action on a standard probability space (X, m).*

Is it true that if the G-action is strongly ergodic, then it has the spectral gap?

It turns out that both Questions 1.2 and 1.10 have a negative answer. In order to describe a concrete example illustrating this matter of fact, consider a sequence of prime powers $(q_n)_{n\geq 0}$. Let $\mathbf{F}_{q_n}$ be the finite field of order q_n; we denote its multiplicative group by $\mathbf{F}_{q_n}^*$. We define the following groups:

$$\Lambda = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \mathbf{F}_{q_n} \qquad \text{and} \qquad S = \prod_{n\geq 0} \mathbf{F}_{q_n}^*.$$

We endow Λ with the discrete topology and S with the product topology, so that S is a compact group. Then S acts continuously on Λ by automorphisms: this amounts to saying that every finitely generated subgroup of Λ is centralized by an open subgroup of S, which is indeed the case. We can thus form the semi-direct product

$$G = \Lambda \rtimes S,$$

which is a metabelian (in particular amenable), second countable, totally disconnected, locally compact group. It contains S as a compact open subgroup and Λ as a uniform (normal) lattice.

Theorem 1.11. *Assume that $\sum_n \frac{1}{q_n} < \infty$. Then the metabelian group G does not have property (M): Indeed G contains a non-uniform lattice which, as an abstract group, is isomorphic to the direct sum $\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \mathbf{F}_{q_n}^*$. Moreover G contains uncountably many pairwise non-commensurable non-uniform lattices, and a single commensurability class of uniform lattices.*

In particular, if Γ is a non-uniform lattice in G, then the G-action on G/Γ is strongly ergodic but does not have the spectral gap.

Alain Valette pointed out to us that the same group G as in Theorem 1.11 appears in a different context in Alain Robert's paper [Rob78].

By construction, the group Λ is a discrete abelian cocompact normal subgroup of G. Clearly discrete groups have property (M), as do abelian groups. Hence Theorem 1.11 illustrates the fact that a locally compact group G may fail to have (M) even if it has a cocompact closed normal subgroup with property (M). One may think that understanding exhaustively the lattices in a cocompact normal subgroup of a locally compact group G is sufficient to understand all lattices in G. This is actually far from being true; Theorem 1.11 provides an illustration of that fact[2].

Despite of that negative result, it seems reasonable to expect that Questions 1.2 and 1.10 have a positive answer provided the group G satisfies suitable (and natural) additional conditions. We shall obtain a variety of results in this direction in §5 below. Among those, we mention the following.

Theorem 1.12. *Let G be a locally compact group with a closed normal subgroup N such that N and G/N are both nilpotent.*

If G is compactly generated, then G has property (M).

In particular, compactly generated metabelian locally compact groups have property (M). The hypothesis of compact generation is critical: the example from Theorem 1.11 shows that the conclusion of the theorem may fail if one removes it.

2 Strong ergodicity and spectral gap

The goal of this section is to prove Proposition 1.8 and Proposition 1.9.

2.1 Strong ergodicity of transitive actions

An ergodic action on a finite probability space is always strongly ergodic, so we may ignore this case. For an infinite probability space Ω it is easy to see that an ergodic action is strongly ergodic if and only if there is a compact subset $K \subset G$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ with respect to which there is no (K, ε)-invariant subsets of Ω of measure $1/2$.

Proof of Proposition 1.9. For the sake of clarity we shall first explain the proof in the case that the stabilizers are discrete. Note that in that case, up to a

[2]Another illustration is provided by the group $G = \mathrm{SL}_3\big(\mathbf{F}_p(\!(t)\!)\big) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}\big(\mathbf{F}_p(\!(t)\!)\big)$. While lattices in the cocompact normal subgroup $\mathrm{SL}_3\big(\mathbf{F}_p(\!(t)\!)\big)$ are classified via the Arithmeticity theorem, it is a long-standing open problem to find a lattice in G with infinite image in the field automorphism group.

renormalization of the Haar measure μ of G, we have

$$\mu(K) \geq m(K \cdot x)$$

for every compact subset $K \subset G$ and $x \in \Omega$.

Fix a point $x_0 \in \Omega$ and a compact set $F \subset G$ such that the measure of $\mathcal{F} := F \cdot x_0$ is at least 0.9 and let $K \leq G$ be a compact set such that

$$\forall y, z \in \mathcal{F}, \exists k \in K,\ k \cdot y = z.$$

For instance we can take $K = FF^{-1}$. Suppose by way of contradiction that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $B = B_\varepsilon \subset \Omega$ of measure $1/2$ which is (K, ε)-invariant. Note that both $m(\mathcal{F} \cap B)$ and $m(\mathcal{F} \setminus B)$ are at least 0.4. It follows that

$$0.36 = 0.9 \cdot 0.4 \leq \int_{\mathcal{F}} \int_K |\mathcal{X}_B(k \cdot x) - \mathcal{X}_B(x)| d\mu(k) dm(x) \leq$$

$$\int_\Omega \int_K |\mathcal{X}_B(k \cdot x) - \mathcal{X}_B(x)| d\mu(k) dm(x) =$$

$$\int_K \int_\Omega |\mathcal{X}_B(k \cdot x) - \mathcal{X}_B(x)| dm(x) d\mu(k) \leq \varepsilon \mu(K).$$

A contradiction.

When the stabilizers are not discrete one can argue in the same way, as long as the set K is chosen properly. More precisely, identify Ω with G/H where H is the stabilizer of $x_0 \in \Omega$. Chose a compact set $O \subset H$ such that $\mu_H(O) > 0$ where μ_H denotes the Haar measure of H, and define K as

$$K := FOF^{-1}.$$

Then, the (first) inequality above holds, up to a correction by a multiplicative constant related to $\mu_H(O)$, as one deduces from the next lemma. □

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a locally compact group and $H \leq G$ a cofinite subgroup. Denote by $x_0 \in G/H$ the base point, thus $H = \mathrm{Stab}_G(x_0)$. One can renormalize the measure dx on G/H and the Haar measure μ_H of H so that the following formula will hold for every compact subset $K \subset G$*

$$\mu_G(K) = \int_{K \cdot x_0} \mu_H(K_{x_0,x}) dx,$$

where $K_{x_0,x} := \{k \in K : k \cdot x_0 = x\}$ and $\mu_H(K_{x_0,x}) := \mu_H(k^{-1} K_{x_0,x})$ where $k \in K_{x_0,x}$ is arbitrary.

Proof. The right hand side of the equation defines a left invariant measure on G. Thus the Lemma follows from the uniqueness of Haar measure. □

2.2 Spectral gap and weak cocompactness

For general σ-compact groups, Margulis showed that a cocompact lattice in G is always weakly cocompact. More generally, he proved the following (see [Mar91, Lemma III.1.9]).

Lemma 2.2. *A closed subgroup $H < G$ of finite covolume is not weakly cocompact if and only if there is a sequence $(q_i)_{i\geq 0}$ of almost invariant vectors in $L_2(G/H)$ such that $\inf_i \|q_i\| > 0$ and for each compact subset $K \subset G/H$, we have $lim_{i\to\infty} \int_K |q_i(x)|^2 dx = 0$.* □

Thus, to complete the proof of Proposition 1.8, it suffices to establish the following.

Proposition 2.3. *Let G be a σ-compact locally compact group, and X be a σ-compact locally compact space. Assume that G acts continuously on X, fixing a probability measure μ of full support in X.*

If G is amenable and X is not compact, then the representation of G on $L_2^0(X,\mu)$ does not have the spectral gap.

Proof. Let (K_n) be a compact exhaustion of G, let (ε_n) be a decreasing sequence of positive reals tending to 0, and (F_n) be a sequence of (K_n, ε_n)-invariant compact subsets of G.

Let also (X_n) be a compact exhaustion of X. For each n, the set $F_n^{-1}X_n$ is compact since the G-action is continuous. If X is not compact, there is a compact set of positive measure A_n contained in $X \setminus F_n^{-1}X_n$. We set $\psi_n \colon X \to \mathbf{R}$ to be the convolution $F_n * \mathcal{X}_{A_n}$, i.e.

$$\psi_n(x) = \int_{F_n} \mathcal{X}_{h\cdot A_n}(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(h).$$

We have

$$\|\psi_n\|_1 = \int_X \int_{F_n} \mathcal{X}_{h\cdot A_n}(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(h) \mathrm{d}\mu(x) = \int_{F_n} \mu(h\cdot A_n) \mathrm{d}\mu(h) = \mu(A_n)\mu(F_n).$$

Moreover, the support of ψ_n is contained in F_nA_n, which lies entirely in the complement of X_n by the definition of A_n.

Furthermore, for $g \in K_n$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi_n - g.\psi_n\|_1 &= \int_X \big|\psi_n(x) - \psi_n(g^{-1}.x)\big| \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&= \int_X \Big| \int_{F_n} \mathcal{X}_{h\cdot A_n}(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(h) - \int_{F_n} \mathcal{X}_{gh\cdot A_n}(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(h) \Big| \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&= \int_X \Big| \int_{F_n} \mathcal{X}_{h\cdot A_n}(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(h) - \int_{gF_n} \mathcal{X}_{h\cdot A_n}(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(h) \Big| \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&= \int_X \Big| \int_{F_n - gF_n} \mathcal{X}_{h\cdot A_n}(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(h) - \int_{gF_n - F_n} \mathcal{X}_{h\cdot A_n}(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(h) \Big| \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&\leq \int_X \int_{F_n \Delta gF_n} \mathcal{X}_{h\cdot A_n}(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(h) \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&= \int_{F_n \Delta gF_n} \mu(h \cdot A_n) \mathrm{d}\mu(h) \\
&= \mu(A_n)\mu(F_n \Delta gF_n) \\
&< \varepsilon_n \mu(A_n)\mu(F_n) \\
&= \varepsilon_n \|\psi\|_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the map $\frac{\psi_n}{\|\psi_n\|_1}$ has norm one and is (ε_n, K_n)-almost invariant for the L_1-norm. Therefore, by [BL00, Th. 9.1], there is another sequence (ε'_n) of positive reals tending to 0 such that the map

$$f_n = \sqrt{\frac{\psi_n}{\|\psi_n\|_1}}$$

has norm one and is (ε'_n, K_n)-almost invariant for the L_2-norm. Finally, let $\tilde{f}_n$ denote the projection of f_n onto $L^0_2(X)$. Since the support of f_n lies entirely in the complement of X_n, we infer that $\inf_n \|\tilde{f}_n\|_2 > 0$, so that $(\tilde{f}_n)$ is a sequence of almost invariant vectors for $L^0_2(X)$, as desired. □

3 Exotic examples

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.11. This will be obtained by specializing a general construction, described in the first subsection below.

3.1 Lattices in restricted products

Let $(G_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of locally compact groups. For each $n \geq 0$, let $U_n < G_n$ be a compact open subgroup. To the sequence $(G_n, U_n)_{n\geq 0}$, one associates the **restricted product**, defined as follows:

$$G = \{(g_n) \in \prod_{n\geq 0} G_n \mid g_n \in U_n \text{ for all but finitely many } n\text{'s}\}.$$

The group G commensurates its subgroup $U = \prod_n U_n$, which is compact. Therefore G carries a unique locally compact group topology that makes U a compact open subgroup.

We now describe how one can construct lattices in the restricted product G from lattices in the various factors G_n. For each $n \geq 0$, let Γ_n be a subgroup of G_n intersecting U_n trivially. In particular Γ_n is discrete in G_n. Assume that Γ_n is of finite covolume, and let c_n denote that covolume with respect to the normalization of the Haar measure on G_n that gives measures 1 to the compact open subgroup U_n. Then we have the following.

Proposition 3.1. *Retain the notation as above.*

(i) $\Gamma = \bigoplus_n \Gamma_n$ *is a discrete subgroup of* G.

(ii) Γ *is a lattice in* G *if and only if the sequence* $C_k = \prod_{n=0}^{k} c_n$ *converges to a finite limit.*

(iii) Γ *is a uniform lattice in* G *if and only if* Γ_n *is uniform in* G_n *for all* $n \geq 0$ *and there is some* N *such that* $c_n = 1$ *for all* $n \geq N$.

Proof. (i) By construction $U = \prod_n U_n$ is a compact open subgroup of G that intersects Γ trivially. Thus Γ is discrete in G.

(ii) For each $k \geq 0$, let $O_k < G$ be the subgroup of G consisting of those sequences $(g_n)_{n\geq 0}$ such that $g_n \in U_n$ for all $n \geq k$. Thus (O_k) forms an ascending chain of open subgroups of G, whose union is the whole of G. For each $n \geq 0$, let F_n be a fundamental domain for Γ_n in G_n. Since $\Gamma_n \cap U_n = \{1\}$ we may assume that F_n contains U_n for all n. Then for each $k \geq 0$, the set

$$\Omega_k = F_0 \times \cdots \times F_k \times U_{k+1} \times U_{k+2} \times \dots$$

is a fundamental domain for $\Lambda_k = \Gamma \cap O_k$ in O_k. Normalize the Haar measure on G so that U has measure 1. Then we have

$$\mathrm{vol}(O_k/\Lambda_k) = \mathrm{vol}(\Omega_k) = \prod_{n=0}^{k} \lambda_n(F_n) = \prod_{n=0}^{k} c_n = C_k$$

for all k, where λ_n denotes the Haar measure on G_n. Therefore we deduce that

$$\mathrm{vol}(G/\Gamma) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathrm{vol}(O_k/\Lambda_k) = \lim_{k\to\infty} C_k.$$

The desired assertion follows.

(iii) The necessity of the condition that Γ_n is uniform in G_n for all n is clear; we assume henceforth that it holds. In particular we may assume that F_n is compact for all n. Therefore the sets Ω_k are all compact and form an ascending chain whose union $\Omega = \bigcup_{k\geq 0} \Omega_k$ is a fundamental domain for Γ in G.

If $c_n = 1$ for all $n \geq N$, then $F_n = U_n$ for all $n \geq N$ (up to a set of measure zero) so that $\Omega = \Omega_k$ for all $k \geq N$. Thus Γ is uniform in G.

Conversely, if Γ is uniform, then it has a compact fundamental domain, which must therefore be contained in O_N for some sufficiently large N. Then for all $n \geq N$ we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} C_k = \mathrm{vol}(G/\Gamma) = \mathrm{vol}(\Omega_n/\Lambda_n) = C_n,$$

so that $c_n = 1$ for all $n \geq N$. □

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.11

Retain the notations of Theorem 1.11. For each $n \geq 0$, we set $T_n = \mathbf{F}_{q_n}$, $U_n = \mathbf{F}_{q_n}^*$ and $G_n = T_n \rtimes U_n$. We normalize the counting measure on G_n so that U_n has measure 1; thus each element of G_n has measure $\frac{1}{q_n-1}$. Notice that G is nothing but the restricted product of the sequence (G_n, U_n).

For each $n \geq 0$, we further define $S_n \cong \mathbf{F}_{q_n}^*$ as the image of the injective homomorphism

$$\mathbf{F}_{q_n}^* \to G_n = \mathbf{F}_{q_n} \rtimes \mathbf{F}_{q_n}^* : x \mapsto (x-1, x).$$

Identifying G_n with its canonical image in G, we view T_n and S_n as finite subgroups of G.

Let now $A \subset \mathbf{N}$ be a set of non-negative integers. For each $n \geq 0$ we set $\Gamma_n = S_n$ if $n \in A$ and $\Gamma_n = T_n$ otherwise. Finally, we define $\Gamma_A = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \Gamma_n$. Then Proposition 3.1 ensures that Γ_A is a lattice in G if and only if the sequence $(\prod_{n\in A, n\leq k} \frac{q_n}{q_n-1})_{k\geq 0}$ converges to a finite value. This happens if the series $\sum_n \log(\frac{q_n}{q_n-1}) = \sum_n \log(1 + \frac{1}{q_n-1})$ converges. Using Taylor expansion of the function $x \mapsto \log(1+x)$ (which has convergence radius equal to 1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(1 + \tfrac{1}{q_n-1}) &= \textstyle\sum_{m>0} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m} (\frac{1}{q_n-1})^m \\
&\leq \textstyle\sum_{m>0} (\frac{1}{q_n-1})^m \\
&= \frac{1/(q_n-1)}{1-1/(q_n-1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{q_n-2} \\
&< \frac{2}{q_n}
\end{aligned}$$

provided $q_n > 4$. Therefore the hypothesis $\sum_n \frac{1}{q_n} < \infty$ ensures that the series $\sum_n \log(1 + \frac{1}{q_n-1})$ converges, and hence that Γ_A is a lattice.

Proposition 3.1 also shows that Γ_A is uniform if and only if the sequence $(\prod_{n\in A, n\leq k} \frac{q_n}{q_n-1})_{k\geq 0}$ is eventually constant. This in turn is equivalent to the fact that the set A is finite, so Γ_A is non-uniform for any infinite set A.

Let now $B \subset \mathbf{N}$ be another infinite set, and assume that Γ_A and Γ_B are commensurable, i.e. there exists $g \in G$ such that $g\Gamma_A g^{-1} \cap \Gamma_B$ is of finite index in

$g\Gamma_A g^{-1}$ and in Γ_B. Since $\bigoplus_{n\notin A} T_n$ is a normal subgroup of G contained in Γ_A, we see that Γ_B contains a finite index subgroup of $\bigoplus_{n\notin A} T_n$. Similarly Γ_A contains a finite index subgroup of $\bigoplus_{n\notin B} T_n$. It follows that the symmetric difference $A\triangle B$ is finite. Thus, by letting A vary over infinite subsets of $\mathbf{N}$ with infinite complements, we obtain an uncountable family of pairwise non-commensurable non-uniform lattices, as desired.

Let now $\Gamma < G$ be a uniform lattice. We want to show that Γ is commensurable with Λ. By the same argument as before, the cocompactness of Γ implies that the sequence $\mathrm{vol}(O_n/O_n\cap\Gamma)$ is eventually constant. This implies that for some $N \in \mathbf{N}$, the order $|O_n \cap \Gamma|$ is divisible by q_n for all $n \geq N$. Since O_n has a unique subgroup of order q_n, namely T_n, we conclude that Γ contains $\bigoplus_{n\geq N} T_n$. The latter group is contained as a finite index subgroup of Λ, which implies that Γ and Λ are commensurable.

4 Auxiliary assertions on locally compact groups

In the following we abbreviate the expression *totally disconnected locally compact* by **t.d.l.c.**

4.1 Compact generation of cocompact subgroups

The following well known fact is important.

Proposition 4.1. *A cocompact closed subgroup of a compactly generated locally compact group is itself compactly generated.*

Proof. See [MŚ59]. □

4.2 Compactly presented groups

A locally compact group G is called **compactly presented** if there is a surjective homomorphism $\theta\colon F_X \to G$, where F_X is the abstract free group on a set X, so that $\theta(X)$ is compact in G and the kernel of θ is generated by words in the alphabet $X \cup X^{-1}$ of uniformly bounded length.

If G is discrete, this is equivalent to the condition that G be finitely presented. The following result, well-known in the discrete case, is due to Abels in the locally compact setting.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\varphi\colon \tilde{G} \to G$ be a continuous homomorphism of locally compact groups. If G is compactly presented and $\tilde{G}$ is compactly generated, then* $\ker(\varphi)$ *is compactly generated as a normal subgroup of $\tilde{G}$.*

Proof. See Theorem 2.1 in [Abe72]. □

The following result, also due to Abels, will be used later.

Proposition 4.3. *Every compactly generated nilpotent locally compact group is compactly presented.*

Proof. See Theorem B in [Abe72]. □

4.3 Discrete cocompact normal subgroups

Lemma 4.4. *Let G be a compactly generated locally compact group and N be a discrete cocompact normal subgroup. Then the centralizer N in G, $C_G(N)$, is an open normal subgroup, and the product $NC_G(N)$ is open and of finite index in G.*

If in addition G is totally disconnected, then G has a compact open normal subgroup intersecting N trivially.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, the group N is finitely generated. Since N is discrete, every $g \in N$ has a discrete conjugacy class, hence an open normalizer in G. Since N is generated by a finite set, say S, the centralizer $C_G(N) = \bigcap_{s\in S} C_G(s)$ is open. It is normal in G since N is so. Since G/N is compact, the image of the open subgroup $C_G(N)$ in G/N is of finite index. Hence $G/NC_G(N)$ is finite.

We now assume that G is totally disconnected. Then $C_G(N)$ contains a compact open subgroup U intersecting N trivially. The group NU is open and cocompact in G, hence of finite index. Since N commutes with U, we infer that the conjugacy class of U in G is finite. Hence $\bigcap_{g\in G} gUg^{-1}$ is a compact open normal subgroup of G intersecting N trivially. □

4.4 Compactly generated nilpotent tdlc groups

Proposition 4.5. *Let G be a compactly generated nilpotent t.d.l.c. group. Then G has a basis of identity neighborhoods consisting of compact open normal subgroups.*

Proof. See [Wil97]. □

Notice that Proposition 4.3 can be deduced from Proposition 4.5 in the totally disconnected case, by invoking the classical Mal'cev theory, which implies that finitely generated nilpotent groups are finitely presented.

4.5 A cocompactness criterion

The following simple lemma will be used repeatedly in later sections.

Lemma 4.6. *Let G be a locally compact group. Let $H, A, U \leq G$ be closed subgroups such that*

- *A is normal in G,*
- *U is open in G and*
- *HA is dense in G.*

Then G/H is compact if and only if $UA/(UA \cap H)$ is compact.

Proof. Since HA is dense and U is open, $HUA = HAU = G$, hence a fundamental domain of $UA \cap H$ in UA is also a fundamental domain for H in G. □

4.6 Locally elliptic groups

The notions and results of this section, due to Platonov [Pla65], will be used frequently without notice.

Given a locally compact group G, a subgroup $H \leq G$ is called **locally elliptic** if every finite subset of H is contained in a compact subgroup of G. As shown in [Pla65] this is equivalent to the requirement that every compact subset of H is contained in a compact subgroup of G. Therefore, if G is locally elliptic and second countable, then G is a union of a countable ascending chain of compact open subgroups.

By [Pla65], the closure of a locally elliptic subgroup is locally elliptic, and an extension of a locally elliptic group by a locally elliptic group is itself locally elliptic. In particular any locally compact group G has a largest closed normal subgroup which is locally elliptic, called the **LE-radical** of G and denoted by $\mathrm{Rad}_{\mathrm{LE}}(G)$. It is a closed characteristic subgroup of G and the quotient $G/\mathrm{Rad}_{\mathrm{LE}}(G)$ has trivial LE-radical.

4.7 Locally p-elliptic groups

The results in this section are variations on Platonov's results on locally elliptic groups which we shall need later.

Let p be a prime. Given a t.d.l.c. group G, a subgroup $H \leq G$ is called **locally p-elliptic** if every finite subset of H is contained in a pro-p (hence compact) subgroup of G. A locally p-elliptic subgroup is thus clearly locally elliptic. It turns out that the properties of locally elliptic groups mentioned above have a direct analogue for the class of locally p-elliptic groups. We record them in the following.

Proposition 4.7. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group.*

(i) In a locally p-elliptic subgroup $H \leq G$, every compact subset is contained in a pro-p subgroup of G.

(ii) If $H \leq G$ is locally p-elliptic, then so is the closure $\overline{H}$.

(iii) If $H \leq G$ is a closed normal locally p-elliptic subgroup, then G is locally p-elliptic if and only if G/H is so.

*(iv) Every t.d.l.c. group G has a largest locally p-elliptic normal subgroup, denoted by $\mathrm{Rad}_p(G)$, called the p-**radical**. It is a closed characteristic subgroup of G, and the quotient $G/\mathrm{Rad}_p(G)$ has trivial p-radical.*

Proof. (i). Let $H \leq G$ be a locally p-elliptic subgroup and $C \subset H$ be a compact subset. We must prove that $V = \overline{\langle C \rangle}$ is a pro-p subgroup of G. We know from §4.6 that V is a compact, hence profinite, subgroup. If V is not pro-p, then V maps continuously onto a finite group which order is not a power of p. In particular V has an open subgroup W admitting a continuous surjective map $\varphi\colon W \to \mathbf{Z}/q$ onto a cyclic group of order q, for some prime $q \neq p$. Since $\langle C \rangle$ is dense in V and W is open, it follows that $\langle C \rangle \cap W$ is dense in W. Therefore there exists $w \in \langle C \rangle \cap W$ such that $\varphi(w)$ generates $\mathbf{Z}/q$. Let then $c_1, \ldots, c_m \in C$ such that $w \in \langle c_1, \ldots, c_m \rangle$. Since H is locally p-elliptic, the group $\overline{\langle c_1, \ldots, c_m \rangle}$ is pro-p. So is thus the group $\overline{\langle c_1, \ldots, c_m \rangle} \cap W$, which contains w. It follows that the cyclic group $\varphi(W)$ is a quotient of the pro-p group $\overline{\langle c_1, \ldots, c_m \rangle} \cap W$, and is thus a p-group. This contradiction finishes the proof of (i).

(ii) We know from §4.6 that $\overline{H}$ is locally elliptic. If it is not locally p-elliptic, then it contains a compact open subgroup which is not pro-p. This implies again that it contains a compact open subgroup W which maps continuously onto a cyclic group of prime order $q \neq p$. Since $H \cap W$ is dense in W, we may conclude as in the proof of (i).

(iii) Every continuous quotient of a locally p-elliptic group is locally p-elliptic. Thus the 'only if' part is clear. Suppose conversely that G/H is locally p-elliptic. Let $U \leq G$ be a compact open subgroup. Then U decomposes as an extension of UH/H be $U \cap H$. Both of these profinite groups are pro-p by hypothesis, in view of (i). Therefore U is pro-p, and G is indeed locally p-elliptic.

(iv) The class of locally p-elliptic subgroups of G is stable under taking directed unions. In particular it contains maximal elements by Zorn's lemma. Such a maximal element is closed by (ii). The other required assertions now follow from (iii). □

4.8 {Compact-by-discrete}-by-compact groups

Given a (locally compact) group G and a (closed) normal subgroup $N \leq G$ such that N satisfies an algebraic/topological property A and G/N satisfies a property B, then we say that G is a **A-by-B group**.

Lemma 4.8. *Let G be a compactly generated locally compact group with a cocompact closed normal subgroup N. Assume that N has a compact relatively open normal subgroup V. Then V is contained in a compact normal subgroup of G.*

If in addition G is totally disconnected, then V is contained in a compact open normal subgroup of G.

Proof. Consider the LE-radical $\mathrm{Rad}_{\mathrm{LE}}(N)$, which is normal in G. If K denotes a compact subset of G such that $G = KN$, then every conjugate of V in G is contained in the compact subset $KVK \cap LF(N)$. It follows that the normal closure of V in G is contained in a compactly generated subgroup of $\mathrm{Rad}_{\mathrm{LE}}(N)$, which must thus be compact. Thus V is contained in a compact normal subgroup W of G.

If G is totally disconnected, Lemma 4.4 ensures that G/W has a compact open normal subgroup. Its preimage in G is then a compact open normal subgroup containing V. □

4.9 Serre's covolume formula

Lemma 4.9. *Let G be a locally compact group acting on the measured space (X, μ) preserving μ. Fix a point $x \in X$ and denote $H = \mathrm{Stab}_G(x)$. For K_1, K_2 compact open subgroups of G we have*

$$\mathrm{vol}_G(K_2) \cdot \mathrm{vol}_H(K_1 \cap H) \cdot \mu(K_1 x) = \mathrm{vol}_G(K_1) \cdot \mathrm{vol}_H(K_2 \cap H) \cdot \mu(K_2 x), \qquad (1)$$

where vol_G is a left Haar measure on G and vol_H is a left Haar measure on H (note that Equation 1 does not depend on the choices of these measures).

Proof. Observe that among compact open subgroups of G, the collection of pairs satisfying Equation 1 forms an equivalence relation. Hence, since the collection $\{(K_1, K_2) \mid K_2 \lhd K_1\}$ is not contained in a proper sub-equivalence relation, in our proof we may assume that K_2 is normal (and of finite index) in K_1. We do so. We get that $K_1 x \to K_1/K_2 \mathrm{Stab}_{K_1}(x)$ is a K_1- equivariant map with fibers isomorphic to $K_2 x$. As $\mathrm{Stab}_{K_1}(x) = K_1 \cap H$, we get the equation

$$\mu(K_1 x) = [K_1 : K_2(K_1 \cap H)] \cdot \mu(K_2 x).$$

Multiplying corresponding sides with the equation

$$[K_1 \cap H : K_2 \cap H] = [K_1 \cap H : K_2 \cap (K_1 \cap H)] = [K_2(K_1 \cap H) : K_2]$$

and substituting

$$[K_1 : K_2] = [K_1 : K_2(K_1 \cap H)] \cdot [K_2(K_1 \cap H) : K_2],$$

we obtain

$$[K_1 \cap H : K_2 \cap H] \cdot \mu(K_1 x) = [K_1 : K_2] \cdot \mu(K_2 x)$$

which is equivalent to Equation 1. □

The following result is well known in the special case where H is discrete; it is sometimes called *Serre's covolume formula* in that case. We could however not find it explicitly stated and proved in Serre's works (the formula $(*)$ in the proof of Proposition 14 on p. 104 in [Ser71] is closely related, but formally only applies to the case where G and H are both discrete). A published reference where Serre's covolume formula for discrete groups is explicitly stated and proved is in M. Bourdon's paper [Bou00, Proposition 1.4.2(b)].

Proposition 4.10. *Let G be a unimodular t.d.l.c. group and fix a compact open subgroup $K < G$. Let $H \leq G$ be a closed unimodular subgroup. We normalize the Haar measure vol_G on G and vol_H on H so that $\mathrm{vol}_G(K) = \mathrm{vol}_H(K \cap H) = 1$. We let $x \in G/H$ be the base point and we normalize the Haar measure μ on G/H so that $\mu(Kx) = 1/\mathrm{vol}_H(H \cap K)$. Then for any measurable section $s : G/H \to G$, identifying G with $s(G/H)H$, $\mathrm{vol}_G = s_*\mu \times \mathrm{vol}_H$ and the total measure of G/H is given by the formula*

$$\mu(G/H) = \sum_{t \in \Omega} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}_H(H_t)},$$

where Ω denotes a fundamental domain for the right H action on (the discrete space) $K\backslash G$ and for $t \in \Omega$, H_t denotes the stabilizer of t in H (which is compact open).

Proof. For the first statement see Lemma 2.1. For the second statement, identifying $\Omega = K\backslash G/H$, we need to show that for $g \in G$, the μ-measure of the K orbit of $gx \in G/H$ is given by reciprocal of the vol_H-measure of the stabilizer in H of $Kg \in K\backslash G$, which is $g^{-1}Kg \cap H$. Fix $g \in G$. By the G-invariance of μ and by applying Lemma 4.9 to the commensurable subgroups $g^{-1}Kg, K < G$, we have

$$\mu(Kgx) = \mu(g^{-1}Kgx) =$$

$$\mathrm{vol}_G(g^{-1}Kg)\mathrm{vol}_G(K)^{-1}\mathrm{vol}_H(K \cap H)\mathrm{vol}_H(g^{-1}Kg \cap H)^{-1}\mu(Kx).$$

By our normalization, $\mu(Kx) = 1/\mathrm{vol}_H(H \cap K)$ and by unimodularity, $\mathrm{vol}_G(K) = \mathrm{vol}_G(g^{-1}Kg)$. It follows that indeed

$$\mu(Kgx) = \mathrm{vol}_H(g^{-1}Kg \cap H)^{-1}.$$ □

This implies the well known fact that a torsion-free lattice in a t.d.l.c. group must be cocompact. More generally, we have the following immediate consequence of Proposition 4.10.

Corollary 4.11. *Let H be a totally disconnected, locally compact, unimodular group. Assume that there is a uniform upper bound on the volumes of compact open subgroups of H. Then, given any t.d.l.c group G containing H as a closed cofinite subgroup, the group H is cocompact in G.*

An interesting class of examples for groups H satisfying the assumption above is the class of semi-simple groups over non-Archimedean local fields, which have finitely many conjugation classes of maximal compact open subgroups.

5 Amenable groups with property (M)

The goal of this section is to establish property (M) for various special (and natural) classes of amenable locally compact groups.

5.1 Compact extensions and property (M)

The following easy fact shows that property (M) is insensitive to dividing out compact normal subgroups.

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a locally compact group and K be a compact normal subgroup of G. Then G has (M) if and only if G/K has (M).*

Proof. The 'only if' is clear and does not require the compactness of K. For the converse, the point is that the compactness of K ensures that projection $G \to G/K$ is closed. □

Note however, that the examples provided by Theorem 1.11 show that property (M) is affected by taking an extension by a compact group. Indeed, discrete groups and abelian locally compact groups all satisfy (M), but Theorem 1.11 shows that a locally compact group with a cocompact normal subgroup that is abelian and discrete may fail to satisfy (M).

5.2 Central extensions

Proposition 5.2. *Property (M) is inherited by central extensions.*

In other words, if $\tilde{G}$ is a locally compact group and Z a closed central subgroup such that $\tilde{G}/Z = G$ has property (M), then $\tilde{G}$ has property (M).

Proof. Let $H \leq \tilde{G}$ be a cofinite subgroup and denote $\tilde{H} = \overline{ZH}$. Then $\tilde{H}$ is a closed subgroup of finite covolume in $\tilde{G}$ and hence $\tilde{H}/Z$ is cofinite in $\tilde{G}/Z \cong G$. Since G has property (M) we deduce that $(\tilde{G}/Z)/(\tilde{H}/Z) \cong \tilde{G}/\tilde{H}$ is compact. Thus, it suffices to show that $\tilde{H}/H$ is compact. But H is normal and cofinite in $\tilde{H}$ hence the group $\tilde{H}/H$ carries a finite $\tilde{H}/H$ invariant measure. Having a finite Haar measure, the latter group is indeed compact. □

5.3 Discrete groups

Proposition 5.3. *(i) Discrete groups have property (M).*

(ii) Compactly generated discrete-by-compact groups have property (M).

(iii) A locally compact group with an open normal subgroup having (M) also has (M).

Proof. The assertion (i) follows from the fact that a discrete homogeneous space has finite measure only if it is finite.

For assertion (ii), let G be a locally compact group and N be a finitely generated discrete normal cocompact subgroup of G. Let also $H \leq G$ be a cofinite subgroup. Let $U = C_G(N)$, so that U is open by Lemma 4.4. Then HU is an open cofinite subgroup of G, hence of finite index. We may thus assume without loss of generality that $G = HU$. By Lemma 4.6, it suffices to show that $U \cap H$ is cocompact in U. Now we observe that $U \cap N$ is contained in the center of U. Moreover $U/U \cap N \cong UN/N$ since U is open, so that $U/U \cap N$ is compact. This shows that U is a central extension of a compact group. Therefore U has property (M) by Proposition 5.2, so $U \cap H$ is cocompact in U, as required.

Assertion (iii) follows from (i) together with Lemma 4.6. □

Corollary 5.4. *Compactly generated {compact-by-discrete}-by-compact groups have property (M).*

Proof. Let G be a locally compact group with a compactly generated closed cocompact normal subgroup N. Assume that N has a compact relatively open normal subgroup V. We must show that G has property (M).

By Lemma 4.8, the group V is contained in a compact normal subgroup W of G. By Lemma 5.1, we may replace G by G/W and assume therefore that V is trivial. Hence N is discrete, and the desired assertion follows from Proposition 5.3(ii). □

5.4 Nilpotent groups

Proposition 5.5. *(i) Nilpotent locally compact groups have property (M).*

(ii) Compactly generated nilpotent-by-compact locally compact groups have property (M).

Proof. (i) follows by induction on the nilpotency degree, using Proposition 5.2.

For (ii), we need to show that compactly generated nilpotent-by-compact locally compact groups have property (M). We first observe that nilpotent-by-compact groups are amenable. Moreover, the class of nilpotent-by-compact groups is stable under taking quotient groups: indeed, given G with a closed cocompact nilpotent subgroup N and H a closed normal subgroup of G, we see that $N \leq \overline{NH} \leq G$ so that $\overline{NH}$ is cocompact in G. Since the image of N in G/H is nilpotent, so is its closure. So $\overline{NH}/H$ is a closed cocompact nilpotent normal subgroup of G/H.

In view of those observations, it suffices by Theorem 1.4 to show that compactly generated nilpotent-by-compact t.d.l.c. groups have property (M). By [Wil97], a compactly generated nilpotent t.d.l.c. group is compact-by-discrete, so that the desired assertion now follows from Corollary 5.4. □

5.5 Solvable Noetherian groups

A locally compact group is called **Noetherian** if it satisfies an ascending chain condition on open subgroups. A condition which is equivalent to Noetherianity is that every open subgroup is compactly generated. We warn the reader that our choice of terminology disagrees with the terminology introduced by Y. Guivarc'h, who chose to call a locally compact group *Noetherian* if each of its *closed* subgroup is compactly generated (see [Gui73, §III, p. 346]) . The latter condition is of course considerably stronger than the Noetherian condition as defined above. An argument in favour of our own choice is that a locally compact group which is Noetherian in Guivarc'h's sense can however fail to satisfy the ascending chain condition on closed subgroups: the simplest examples are provided by $G = \mathbf{R}$ or $G = S^1$. However, we shall observe in Proposition 5.8 below that a solvable locally compact group which is Noetherian in the sense above is also Noetherian in the sense of Guivarc'h.

Henceforth, we exclusively refer to the term *Noetherian* in the sense introduced above, namely as the ACC on open subgroups. Clearly, every connected group is Noetherian, since it has no proper open subgroup. Compact groups are also Noetherian since open subgroups have finite index. A theorem of Tits, proved by Prasad, asserts that in a simple locally compact group over a local field, every proper open subgroup is compact (this can be deduced from the Howe–Moore property); clearly this implies Noetherianity. Notice moreover that Noetherianity is inherited by quotients (since the projection map is open). However it is not inherited by closed subgroups in general. For a general result on the structure of (non-discrete) locally compact Noetherian groups, we refer to [CM11, Theorem C].

A discrete solvable group is Noetherian if and only if it is polycyclic (see [Rag72, Ch. 3 & 4] for generalities about polycyclic groups).

The following result generalizes Mostow's theorem.

Theorem 5.6. *{Solvable Noetherian}-by-compact locally compact groups have property (M).*

It can be seen that every compactly generated nilpotent locally compact group is Noetherian (this follows by combining the Noetherianity of solvable Lie groups with the main result of [Wil97]). However, not every compactly generated solvable locally compact group is Noetherian: this is already seen within discrete groups, since not every finitely generated metabelian group is polycyclic, as highlighted by the lamplighter.

The proof of Theorem 5.6 relies on the following observation.

Proposition 5.7. *Let G be a solvable t.d.l.c. group. If G is Noetherian, then it admits a compact open normal subgroup.*

Proof. We work by induction on the solubility degree of G, the case of abelian groups being trivial.

Let thus $A < G$ be the last non-trivial term of the derived series. Since G/A is solvable, Noetherian and totally disconnected, the induction hypothesis implies that it has a compact open normal subgroup K. Let $B < G$ be the preimage of K in G. Thus B is an open normal subgroup of G containing A as a closed cocompact normal subgroup. Note that B is compactly generated since G is Noetherian. Thus A is compactly generated as well by Proposition 4.1. Since A is abelian and totally disconnected, it is compact-by-discrete. Now Lemma 4.8 ensures that B has a compact open normal subgroup, say V. The quotient group $AV/V \cong A/A \cap V$ is finitely generated abelian. Its LE-radical is thus finite. Since $\mathrm{Rad}_{\mathrm{LE}}(B)$ contains V, this implies that $\mathrm{Rad}_{\mathrm{LE}}(B)/V$ is finite, so that $\mathrm{Rad}_{\mathrm{LE}}(B)$ is compact. Hence $\mathrm{Rad}_{\mathrm{LE}}(B)$ is a compact open normal subgroup of G. □

We can now complete the proof of the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 5.6. Let G be a locally compact group with a closed cocompact normal subgroup N which is solvable Noetherian. The properties of solvability and Noetherianity are both preserved under passing to the closure of the image under a continuous homomorphism of locally compact groups. Hence the closure of the image of N in G/G° is a closed cocompact normal subgroup which is solvable Noetherian. By Theorem 1.4, we may thus assume that G is totally disconnected. Proposition 5.7 then guarantees that G is {compact-by-discrete}-by-compact, and the conclusion follows from Corollary 5.4. □

We record the following result, although it won't be used in the sequel.

Proposition 5.8. *Let G be a Noetherian locally compact group. If G is solvable, then every closed subgroup is compactly generated.*

Proof. By [HN09], every closed subgroup of an almost connected solvable locally compact group is compactly generated. By Proposition 5.7, the group G has an almost connected open normal subgroup N. Thus the open normal subgroup N and the discrete quotient G/N both have the property that every closed subgroup is compactly generated. Thus the property is inherited by G, as desired. □

The following consequence is immediate (compare [Gui73, Théorème III.1]).

Corollary 5.9. *Let G be a Noetherian solvable locally compact group. Then G has a subnormal chain $G = G_0 \rhd G_1 \rhd G_2, \rhd \ldots \rhd G_n = \{1\}$ such that G_{i-1}/G_i is either compact abelian or isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}$ or $\mathbf{R}$, for all $0 < i \leq n$.* □

5.6 Locally elliptic groups with the bounded index property

In all the classes of groups we have treated so far, the proof proceeded in two steps: first invoking Theorem 1.4 to reduce to totally disconnected groups, and then observe that the totally disconnected members of the class of groups in question were {compact-by-discrete}-by-compact, so that the required conclusion followed via Corollary 5.4. We shall now establish property (M) for a particular class of locally elliptic groups; this will happen to be a crucial tool in establishing the subsequent results in the rest of this chapter.

Proposition 5.10. *Let O be a locally compact group which is the union of a countable ascending chain of compact open subgroups $O_1 \leq O_2 \leq O_3 \leq \ldots$. Let $H < O$ be a closed subgroup, and denote $H_n = H \cap O_n$, $\gamma_n = |O_n/H_n|$. Then (γ_n) is a non-decreasing sequence, converging to the covolume of H. In particular, H is of finite covolume if and only if (γ_n) is bounded. Moreover, H is cocompact if and only if (γ_n) stabilizes.*

Proof. Straightforward. Observe that O and all of its closed subgroups are unimodular. In particular, if H is cocompact then it is of finite covolume. □

Proposition 5.11. *Let O be a locally compact group which is the union of a countable ascending chain of compact open subgroups $O_1 \leq O_2 \leq O_3 \leq \ldots$. If $\sup_n [O_{n+1} : O_n] < \infty$, then O has property (M).*

Proof. Let $H < O$ be a closed subgroup of finite covolume. Let vol_O and vol_H denote Haar measures on O and H respectively, and let μ denote a O-invariant measure on O/H. Define $H_n = H \cap O_n$ for all n, so that H_n is a compact open subgroup of H contained in H_{n+1}. Set

$$\gamma_n = \mu(O_n H/H),\ \alpha_n = [H_{n+1} : H_n] \text{ and } \beta_n = [O_{n+1} : O_n]$$

for all n and note that $\alpha_n, \beta_n \in \mathbf{N}$. By Lemma 4.9, we have

$$\mathrm{vol}_O(O_n)\mathrm{vol}_H(H_m)\mu(O_m H/H) = \mathrm{vol}_O(O_m)\mathrm{vol}_H(H_n)\mu(O_n H/H)$$

for all m, n, so that, putting $m = n + 1$, we get

$$\alpha_n \gamma_{n+1} = \beta_n \gamma_n$$

for all n. In particular $\alpha_n \leq \beta_n$ since $\gamma_{n+1} \geq \gamma_n$. By assumption we have an upper bound q such that for all n, $\alpha_n \leq \beta_n \leq q$.

Denote $C = \gamma_1/q$. We claim that if $\gamma_n < \gamma_{n+1}$ then $\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n \geq C$. Indeed, Assume $\gamma_n < \gamma_{n+1}$. Then $\beta_n > \alpha_n$. Since these are integers, we get $\beta_n \geq \alpha_n + 1$ and conclude

$$\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n = \gamma_n(\frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n} - 1) \geq \gamma_n(\frac{\alpha_n + 1}{\alpha_n} - 1) = \frac{\gamma_n}{\alpha_n} \geq \frac{\gamma_1}{q} = C.$$

Since H is of finite covolume, by Proposition 5.10, (γ_n) converges, so $(\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n)$ tends to 0. It follows that (γ_n) stabilizes. Again, by Proposition 5.10, we conclude that H is cocompact. □

We remark that an extension of a group satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 5.11 by a compact group need not have property (M): indeed, if one chooses all the finite fields in Theorem 1.11 to have the same characteristic p, then the group Λ from that example is a discrete elementary abelian p group, which therefore satisfies the condition of Proposition 5.11. However, Theorem 1.11 provides an extension of Λ by a compact group which fails to have property (M).

That example also shows that, although the class of locally elliptic groups is stable under group extensions, the class of locally elliptic groups with the bounded index property is not preserved by group extensions. However, we do have the following result for locally p-elliptic groups.

Lemma 5.12. *Let p be a prime. Every σ-compact locally p-elliptic t.d.l.c. group has the bounded index property. In particular it has property (M).*

Proof. Let O be a σ-compact locally p-elliptic t.d.l.c. group. Let $O_1 \leq O$ be a compact open subgroup. Thus O_1 is of countable index since O is σ-compact.

Proposition 4.7 implies that the existence of a chain $O_1 \subset O_2 \subset \dots$ consisting of open pro-p subgroups whose union is the whole group O. Since the O_n are all pro-p, the chain $O_1 \subset O_2 \subset \dots$ may be refined in such a way that $[O_{n+1} : O_n] = p$ for all n. Thus O has the bounded index property, and we conclude using Proposition 5.11. □

We next provide another set of conditions under which the hypotheses of Proposition 5.11 are satisfied.

Lemma 5.13. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group. Assume that G has a closed normal subgroup A and a compact open subgroup U in G satisfying the following conditions:*

(a) G/A is compactly generated and has a compact open normal subgroup.

(b) $U \cap A$ is normal in A.

Let B be the normal closure of $U \cap A$ in G. Then $O = BU$ is locally elliptic and may be written as an ascending chain of compact open subgroups $O_1 \subset O_2 \subset \dots$ such that the index $[O_{n+1} : O_n]$ is bounded.

In particular, if H is a closed cofinite subgroup of G, then $H \cap O$ is cocompact in O and H is cocompact in $\overline{HB}$.

Proof. Since G/A has a compact open normal subgroup, it follows that G has an open normal subgroup, say P, containing A as a cocompact subgroup.

By (a) the quotient G/P is finitely generated. We may thus find a finite set of elements $S \subset G$ which, together with P, generates G. Let Γ be the subgroup of G generated by S, let ℓ be the word length of Γ with respect to the finite generating set S and let $1 = g_0, g_1, g_2, \dots$ be an enumeration of the elements of Γ such that $\ell(g_i) \leq \ell(g_{i+1})$ for all i.

The group $V = U \cap A$ is normal in U since A is normal in G; it follows that the normalizer $\mathscr{N}_P(V)$ is open in P. On the other hand, the normalizer $\mathscr{N}_P(V)$ contains A by (b), and is thus cocompact in P. Therefore $\mathscr{N}_P(V)$ is of finite index in P. In other words, the P-conjugacy class of V is finite.

Let $V = V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n$ be all the P-conjugates of V and set $A_0 = V_1 \cdot V_2 \dots V_n$. Thus A_0 is a compact normal subgroup of P which contains V and is thus open in A.

For each $n > 0$, let $A_n \leq A$ be the subgroup of A generated by $A_0 \cup g_1 A_0 g_1^{-1} \cup \dots \cup g_n A_0 g_n^{-1}$. Since P is normal in G, it follows that for each $n \geq 0$, the group $g_n A_0 g_n^{-1}$ is a compact normal subgroup of P contained in A. In particular, we have $A_n = A_{n-1} \cdot g_n A_0 g_n^{-1}$ for all $n > 0$. Given $n > 0$, there is some $m \leq n - 1$

and $s \in S$ such that $g_n = g_m s$. We deduce successively

$$\begin{aligned}
[A_n : A_{n-1}] &= [g_n A_0 g_n^{-1} : A_{n-1} \cap g_n A_0 g_n^{-1}] \\
&\leq [g_n A_0 g_n^{-1} : g_m A_0 g_m^{-1} \cap g_n A_0 g_n^{-1}] \\
&= [sA_0 s^{-1} : A_0 \cap sA_0 s^{-1}] \\
&\leq \sup_{t \in S} [tA_0 t^{-1} : A_0 \cap tA_0 t^{-1}]
\end{aligned}$$

Since A_n is normal in P for all n, it follows that $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} A_n$ is normal in G and open in A, hence closed. Therefore, the group $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} A_n$ coincides with the normal closure of V in G, which is denoted by B.

We now set $O_n = A_n \cdot U$ for each $n \geq 0$ so that the group $O = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} O_n$ coincides with $A \cdot U$. For all $n > 0$, we have $O_n = A_n \cdot U = A_n \cdot O_0$. Since O_0 contains A_0, we deduce

$$[O_n : O_{n-1}] = [A_n : A_{n-1}],$$

and we have shown above that the latter is bounded above independently of n by $\sup_{t \in S} [tA_0 t^{-1} : A_0 \cap tA_0 t^{-1}]$.

Given a cofinite subgroup $H \leq G$, Proposition 5.11 now ensures that $H \cap O$ is cocompact in O, and this in turn implies that H is cocompact in HB by Lemma 4.6. □

5.7 Metabelian-by-compact groups

The examples of groups without property (M) provided by Theorem 1.11 are metabelian, but not compactly generated. The following result shows that for metabelian groups, compact generation implies property (M).

Theorem 5.14. *A compactly generated metabelian-by-compact group has property (M).*

Proof. Let G be a compactly generated locally compact group with a closed normal subgroups $N \leq M$ such that N is abelian, M/N is abelian and G/M is compact. We must prove that G has property (M).

We first observe that G is amenable and that the group G/G° is also metabelian-by-compact. By Theorem 1.4 we may assume that G is totally disconnected.

Let V be a compact relatively open subgroup of N, and A denote the (abstract) normal closure of V in G. Since V is relatively open in N, so is A; in particular A is closed in G. Moreover V is normal in A since A is abelian. The quotient G/A is compactly generated. We claim that it possesses a compact open normal subgroup.

In order to establish the claim, observe that M/N is a compactly generated abelian totally disconnected group. Dividing out a compact open subgroup, we obtain a finitely generated abelian group, whose torsion subgroup is thus finite.

Therefore M/N has a unique maximal compact open subgroup, and the corresponding quotient is torsion-free. It follows that M/N splits as a direct product of a compact open subgroup and a discrete torsion-free subgroup. Since N/A is discrete, we deduce that the compactly generated group M/A has a discrete cocompact normal subgroup. By Lemma 4.4, this implies that M/A has a compact open normal subgroup. Therefore G/A is {compact-by-discrete}-by-compact. The claim then follows from Lemma 4.8.

The claim implies that all hypotheses of Lemma 5.13 are satisfied. Choose a compact open subgroup U of G such that $V = U \cap A$. Since A is the normal closure of V, Lemma 5.13 ensures that a cofinite subgroup H of G is cocompact in $\overline{HA}$. Since G/A has a compact open normal subgroup, it also has property (M) by Lemma 5.1, so that $\overline{HA}$ is cocompact in G. Hence G/H is compact. □

5.8 Nilpotent-by-nilpotent groups

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.12, which we reformulate as follows.

Theorem 5.15. *Compactly generated nilpotent-by-nilpotent locally compact groups G have property (M).*

Proof. Let G be a compactly generated locally compact group with a closed normal nilpotent subgroup N such that G/N is nilpotent. We must prove that G has property (M). By Theorem 1.4 we may assume that G is totally disconnected. We let $H \leq G$ be a cofinite subgroup. The goal is to show that H is cocompact.

We shall work by induction on the nilpotency class of N. We start by assuming that N is abelian.

Let U be a compact open subgroup of G. Set $V = U \cap N$ and let B be the normal closure of V in G. Since V is relatively open in N, so is B, so that B is closed. By Proposition 4.5, the quotient G/N has a compact open normal subgroup. By Lemma 5.13, this implies that H is cocompact in $\overline{HB}$. Therefore, it suffices to show the cocompactness of $\overline{HB}$ in G. To this end, we may replace G, N and H by G/B, N/B by $\overline{HB}/B$. In view of that reduction, we assume henceforth that $U \cap N$ is trivial; in particular N is discrete.

Since G/N has a basis of identity neighborhoods consisting of compact open normal subgroups by Proposition 4.5, we may replace U by an open subgroup so as to ensure that UN is normal in G. Moreover, the fact that G is compactly generated and that G/N is compactly presented (by Proposition 4.3) implies (by Proposition 4.2) that N is finitely generated as a normal subgroup. Since U is compact and N is discrete, we may find a U-invariant finite subset S of N which generates N as a normal subgroup. Let $N_0 = \langle S \rangle$. Thus N_0 is normalized by U, hence by UN. Since UN is normal in G, we see that for any $g \in G$, the group gN_0g^{-1} is normal in UN, hence normalized by U. Since N_0 is a finitely generated

abelian group, there is an upper bound q on the order of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(N_0)$. It follows that for any $g \in G$, the quotient $U/C_U(gN_0g^{-1})$ is of order $\leq q$. Therefore the quotient of U by $\bigcap_{g\in G} C_U(gN_0g^{-1})$ is of exponent $\leq q$. Since N is the normal closure of N_0 in G, we have $C_U(N) = \bigcap_{g\in G} C_U(gN_0g^{-1})$. Thus $U/C_U(N)$ is of exponent $\leq q$.

Clearly $C_U(N)$ is a compact normal subgroup of U. Moreover it commutes with N, so that it is normal in UN. Let C be the normal closure of $C_U(N)$ in G. By Lemma 5.13, the group H is thus cocompact in $\overline{HC}$. Therefore, it suffices to show the cocompactness of $\overline{HC}$ in G. To this end, we may replace G, N and H by G/C, $\overline{NC}/C$ by $\overline{HC}/C$. In view of that reduction, we assume henceforth that U is of exponent $\leq q$.

(Note however that in the last reduction, the group N may have lost its discreteness a priori. We could perform one more application of Lemma 5.13 to divide out the normal closure of $U \cap N$ so as to restore the discreteness of N without affecting the boundedness of the exponent of U. This is however not necessary for the rest of the argument, so we will continue the discussion without assuming that N is discrete.)

Since G/N is nilpotent, it has property (M) by Proposition 5.5. Therefore $\overline{HN}$ is cocompact in G and we may assume that $G = \overline{HN}$. Now the intersection $H \cap N$ is normal in H (because N is normal in G) and commutes with N (because N is abelian), it is thus normal in G since $G = \overline{HN}$. We may thus pass to the quotient $G/H \cap N$, and assume that $H \cap N$ is trivial.

After that reduction, we claim that N is locally elliptic. Indeed, let $\Sigma \subset N$ be a compact subset; we need to show that the group $W = \overline{\langle \Sigma \rangle}$ is compact. Upon replacing Σ by $U\Sigma U \cap N$, we may assume that W is invariant under the U-action by conjugation. It follows that UW is a compactly generated abelian-by-compact group. Therefore it is compact-by-{virtually abelian} in view of Lemma 4.8. Let D be a discrete virtually abelian quotient of W by a compact open normal subgroup; hence D is virtually $\mathbf{Z}^d$ for some $d \geq 0$. Now observe that the intersection $H \cap UW$ is cofinite in UW since UW is open. Since $H \cap N$ is trivial, it follows that $H \cap UW$ injects in UN/N which is of exponent $\leq q$. Hence $H \cap UW$ is of exponent $\leq q$. But the image of $H \cap UW$ in D is of finite index, so that D is a torsion group. Since a finitely generated virtually abelian torsion group is finite, it follows that UW is compact, hence W is compact as well. This confirms the claim.

Now we invoke again the compact presentability of G/N (guaranteed by Proposition 4.3) to deduce that N is compactly generated as a normal subgroup (see Proposition 4.2). Therefore we may argue as above to find a U-invariant compact relatively open subgroup W of N such that N is the normal closure of W in G. We then invoke Lemma 5.13, which ensures that H is cocompact in $\overline{HN} = G$. This finishes the proof in the case where N is abelian.

Now the induction can start, and we assume that the nilpotency class of N is $n+1$. Let Z denote the center of N, which is closed and normal in G. Let also H be a closed subgroup of finite covolume in G. The group $\overline{HZ}/Z$ is a closed subgroup of finite covolume in G/Z. By the induction hypothesis, it is cocompact. We may thus replace G by $\overline{HZ}$ and assume that HZ is dense.

We next consider the intersection $H \cap N$. It is normalized by H (since N is normal in G) and by Z (since Z is central in N). Since $H \cap N$ is closed and HZ is dense in G, we deduce that $H \cap N$ is normal in G. We may therefore replace G, H and N by their canonical images in the quotient group $G/H \cap N$, which are closed. After that reduction, the intersection $H \cap N$ is trivial, so that H injects in the quotient G/N, which is compactly generated and nilpotent. Since H maps onto a dense subgroup of G/Z, we infer that G/Z is nilpotent, so that G is abelian-by-nilpotent. The cocompactness of H then follows from the base case of the induction. □

5.9 On continuous finite-dimensional representations of p-adic Lie groups

The following result does not seem to appear in the literature.

Proposition 5.16. *Let p be a prime and G be a p-adic Lie group. For any continuous representation $\varphi\colon G \to \mathrm{GL}_d(k)$ over a locally compact field k, there exists an open normal subgroup $M \leq G$ such that $\varphi(\overline{[M,M]})$ is closed in $\mathrm{GL}_d(k)$.*

We shall use the following lemma, valid in arbitrary characteristic, where $\overline{K}^Z$ denotes the Zariski closure of a set K.

Lemma 5.17. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group and $\varphi\colon G \to \mathrm{GL}_d(k)$ be a continuous representation over a locally compact field k.*

For each closed normal subgroup $H \leq G$ there exists a closed normal subgroup $M \leq H$ relatively open in H and such that

$$\overline{\varphi(M)}^Z = \overline{\varphi(H \cap O)}^Z$$

for any open subgroup $O \leq G$.

Proof. Since any descending chain of Zariski closed subsets terminates, there exists a compact open subgroup $U \leq G$ such that the Zariski-closure $\overline{\varphi(H \cap U)}^Z$ is minimal among all Zariski closures of images of relatively open subgroups of H. Let now $g \in G$ and consider the compact open subgroup $V = g^{-1}Ug \cap U$. We have

$$\varphi(g)\overline{\varphi(H \cap U)}^Z\varphi(g)^{-1} = \varphi(g)\overline{\varphi(H \cap V)}^Z\varphi(g)^{-1} = \overline{\varphi(H \cap gVg^{-1})}^Z = \overline{\varphi(H \cap U)}^Z.$$

Thus $\overline{\varphi(H \cap U)}^Z$ is normalized by $\varphi(G)$, so that $M = H \cap \varphi^{-1}(\overline{\varphi(H \cap U)}^Z)$ is a closed normal subgroup of G. Moreover M contains $H \cap U$ and is thus relatively open in H, and it enjoys the required property by construction. □

Proof of Proposition 5.16. Let $M \leq G$ be the open normal subgroup of G obtained by applying Lemma 5.17 to $H = G$. Let also $\mathfrak{g}$ denote the image of the p-adic Lie algebra of G induced by φ. By [Bou72, Ch. III, §9, Prop. 6], there exists a compact open subgroup $U \leq M$ such that the Lie algebra of the derived group of $\varphi(U)$ coincides with the derived Lie algebra $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. A result of Chevalley (see [Bor91, Ch. II, Cor. 7.9]) now ensures that the derived Lie algebra $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is the Lie algebra of a unique connected Zariski-closed subgroup $H \leq \mathrm{GL}_d(k)$. Since H is algebraic, its Lie algebra as an algebraic group coincides with its Lie algebra as a p-adic analytic group. It follows that the compact group $\varphi([U, U])$, whose Lie algebra is $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, must be relatively open in H. Moreover we have $\overline{\varphi([U, U])}^Z = H$. Since $\overline{\varphi(U)}^Z = \overline{\varphi(M)}^Z$, we infer that H is normalized by $\overline{\varphi(M)}$ and that $\overline{\varphi(M)}H/H$ is abelian. In particular we have $\varphi(\overline{[M, M]}) \leq \overline{\varphi([M, M])} \leq H$.

Since $\varphi([U, U])$ is relatively open in H and $U \leq M$, it follows that $\varphi(\overline{[M, M]})$ is relatively open in H, hence closed. □

5.10 On compact linear groups

The structure of compact subgroups of linear algebraic groups over local fields has been described in a seminal paper due to R. Pink [Pin98]. We shall use the following statement that follows from his work.

Theorem 5.18. *Let k be a non-Archimedean local field and Q be a compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_d(k)$. Then there exists closed subgroups $U \leq R \leq S \leq Q_1 \leq Q$ such that:*

(i) Q_1 is pro-p, where p is the residue characteristic of Q. Moreover Q_1 is open normal in Q, and U, R and S are normal in Q_1.

(ii) U is nilpotent; moreover, if the characteristic of k is $p > 0$, then every finitely generated subgroup of U is a finite p-group of bounded exponent.

(iii) $[Q, R] \leq U$.

(iv) S/R is a finite direct product of non-virtually abelian hereditarily just-infinite groups that are isomorphic to a compact open subgroups in simple algebraic groups over local fields.

(v) Q_1/S is abelian of finite exponent.

We recall that a profinite group is called **just-infinite** if every non-trivial closed normal subgroup is of finite index, and **hereditarily just-infinite** if every open subgroup is just-infinite.

Proof. There exists an open normal subgroup $Q_1 \leq Q$ which is pro-p, and whose Zariski-closure $\mathbf{Q}$ is Zariski-connected. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be the solvable radical of $\mathbf{Q}$, and $\mathbf{U}$ be the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{R}$. We set

$$R_1 = \mathbf{R} \cap Q_1,\ U_1 = \mathbf{U} \cap Q_1,\ R = \overline{R_1} \text{ and } U = \overline{U_1}.$$

Then Assertion (i) holds by construction.

Since $\mathbf{U}$ is unipotent, it is nilpotent, and so are thus U_1 and U. If moreover the characteristic of k is $p > 0$, then every finitely generated subgroup of $\mathbf{U}$ is a finite p-group of bounded exponent. That property is thus inherited by U_1. The closure $\overline{U_1}$ is thus a pro-p and all of whose elements are torsion (of bounded exponent). Every finitely generated subgroup of $\overline{U_1}$ is thus linear and p-torsion, hence a finite p-group (of bounded exponent). This proves (ii).

Since $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{U}$ is a connected abelian normal subgroup of the connected reductive group $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{U}$, it is contained in the center. Thus we have $[\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}] \leq \mathbf{U}$. This implies $[Q_1, R_1] \leq U_1$, whence $[Q_1, R] \leq U$. This proves (iii).

The image of Q_1 in the semi-simple group $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{R}$ is Zariski-dense. Therefore, the image of the solvable normal subgroup R of Q_1 is finite, and the quotient Q_1/R has a maximal solvable normal subgroup which must be finite. Now the existence of a normal subgroup $S \leq Q_1$ containing R follows from Pink's work [Pin98, Cor. 0.5] and its extension [CS15, Th. 4.13]. □

5.11 Structure of amenable linear groups

Let k be a locally compact field. A locally compact group G is called **linear over the field** k (or simply **linear**) if there is an integer $d > 0$, a locally compact field k and a continuous injective homomorphism $G \to \mathrm{GL}_d(k)$. The following result describes the algebraic structure of amenable linear locally compact groups.

Theorem 5.19. *Let G be an amenable locally compact group. Assume that G is linear over a locally compact field k.*

(i) If k is connected, then G is Lie group, G° is solvable-by-compact and G/G° is virtually solvable.

(ii) If k is disconnected, then there is a prime p such that the p-radical $\mathrm{Rad}_p(G)$ is open in G.

We emphasize that the definition of linearity does not require the image of the faithful linear representation to be closed. The first step in the proof of Theorem 5.19 is actually to check the statement for amenable closed subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_d(k)$. In the non-Archimedean case, this can be proved with the aid of the following statement, due to Guivarc'h–Rémy [GR06].

Theorem 5.20. *Let k be a non-Archimedean local field of residue characteristic p and $A \leq \mathrm{SL}_d(k)$ be a closed amenable subgroup. Then $\mathrm{Rad}_p(A)$ is open, and the discrete quotient $A/\mathrm{Rad}_p(A)$ is virtually abelian.*

More precisely A has an open normal subgroup of finite index A^0 contained in a parabolic subgroup P of $\mathrm{SL}_d(k)$, and the closure of the image of A^0 in the Levi factor of P is compact-by-{discrete virtually abelian}.

Proof. It follows from [GR06, Th. 33, Cor. 34 and the definitions from §1.2] that A has an open normal subgroup A^0 satisfying the required properties. In particular A^0 is contained in a closed subgroup B of P which contains the unipotent radical of P, and whose image in the Levi factor is compact-by-{discrete abelian}. Since the unipotent radical of P is locally p-elliptic, and since every compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_d(k)$ is virtually pro-p, we deduce that $\mathrm{Rad}_p(B)$ is open, and that $B/\mathrm{Rad}_p(B)$ is virtually abelian. Therefore $A^0 \cap \mathrm{Rad}_p(B) \leq \mathrm{Rad}_p(A^0)$ is open in A^0, and the quotient $A^0/\mathrm{Rad}_p(A^0)$ is also virtually abelian. This finally implies that the same properties hold for A. □

The proof of Theorem 5.19 also requires the following subsidiary facts.

Lemma 5.21. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group. If the center of G is cocompact, then the locally elliptic radical of G is open.*

Proof. See [CM13, Th. 2.4]. □

Lemma 5.22. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group and $J = J_1 \times \cdots \times J_m$ be a direct product of non-virtually abelian just-infinite pro-p groups for some prime p. Let $\varphi\colon G \to J$ be a continuous homomorphism with dense image.*

Then there exists a (possibly empty) subset $I \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and a compact open subgroup $U \leq G$ such that $\varphi(U)$ is an open subgroup of the subproduct $\prod_{i\in I} J_i$.

In particular, if J is just-infinite (i.e. if $m = 1$), then either $\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)$ is open or φ maps each open subgroup of G to an open subgroup of J.

Proof. Set $H = G/\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)$. The continuous homomorphism $H \to J$ induced by φ is again denoted by φ.

Since every just-infinite pro-p group is finitely generated, so is J, so that there exist $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in H$ such that $\varphi(h_1), \ldots, \varphi(h_n)$ generate a dense subgroup of J.

Since H continuously injects in J, it is residually finite, hence residually discrete. Let $U \leq H$ be a compact open subgroup and $H_1 = \overline{\langle \{h_1, \ldots, h_n\} \cup U \rangle}$.

Thus H_1 is a compactly generated residually discrete open subgroup of H. By [CM11, Cor. 4.1], the group H_1 has a compact open normal subgroup, say V. Now $\varphi(V)$ is a compact subgroup of J which is normalized by $\varphi(H_1)$, hence by $\overline{\varphi(H_1)} = J$. Since J is a direct product of non-virtually abelian just-infinite groups, it follows that $\varphi(V)$ is a open subgroup of a subproduct $\prod_{i\in I} J_i$ for some $I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ (see [CS15, Lem. 3.6]). We finish by defining U as a compact open subgroup of G whose image in H is contained in V. □

Proof of Theorem 5.19. (i) Follows from [CM13, Prop. 2.2] and Furstenberg's theorem ensuring that a connected Lie group is amenable if and only if it is solvable-by-compact.

(ii) If k is discrete, then so is G and every subgroup is open. We assume henceforth the k is non-discrete. Thus k is a non-Archimedean local field (see [Wei67, Ch. 1]). We let p denote its residue characteristic.

Let $\varphi\colon G \to \mathrm{GL}_d(k)$ be a continuous injective homomorphism. The group $\mathrm{GL}_d(k)$ embeds as a closed subgroup in $\mathrm{SL}_{d+1}(k)$. Therefore, Theorem 5.20 ensures that the p-radical of $\overline{\varphi(G)}$ is open. Therefore, upon replacing G by an open normal subgroup, we may assume that $\overline{\varphi(G)}$ is locally p-elliptic.

Assume now that the characteristic of k is zero. Then G is a p-adic Lie group. By Proposition 5.16, there is an open normal subgroup $M \leq G$ such that $\varphi(\overline{[M,M]})$ is closed. In particular it is locally p-elliptic, hence contained in $\mathrm{Rad}_p(M)$. Since $M/\overline{[M,M]}$ is abelian and p-adic Lie, it has an open normal pro-p subgroup. This implies by Proposition 4.7 that the p-radical $\mathrm{Rad}_p(M)$ is open in M, hence in G. Since M is normal and $\mathrm{Rad}_p(M)$ is characteristic, we have $\mathrm{Rad}_p(M) \leq \mathrm{Rad}_p(G)$, so that the latter is open.

We now assume that the characteristic of k is positive (hence equal to p). We distinguish two cases, according to whether $Q = \overline{\varphi(G)}$ is compact or not.

Assume first that Q is compact. We consider the closed subgroups $U \leq R \leq S \leq Q_1$ of Q afforded by Theorem 5.18.

Set $U_1 = \varphi^{-1}(U)$, $R_1 = \varphi^{-1}(R)$, $S_1 = \varphi^{-1}(S)$ and $G_1 = \varphi^{-1}(Q_1)$.

Claim. *S_1/R_1 is compact.*

Since Q_1/S is abelian, we have $[G,G] \leq S_1$, so that $\overline{\varphi([G,G])}R/R$ is a closed normal subgroup of Q_1/R contained in S/R. By Theorem 5.18, the group S/R is a direct product $J_1 \times \cdots \times J_m$ of finitely many hereditarily just-infinite groups. If a factor J_i is not virtually contained in $\overline{\varphi([G,G])}R/R$, then it must intersect it trivially (since J_i is just-infinite), and thus inject in the quotient $Q_1/\overline{\varphi([G,G])}$, which is abelian since $\varphi(G)$ is dense in Q. Since no factor J_i is abelian, it follows that each J_i is virtually contained in $\overline{\varphi([G,G])}R/R$.

We now consider the composite homomorphism

$$\overline{[G,G]}R_1/R_1 \to S/R \cong J_1 \times \cdots \times J_m.$$

We have just seen that the closure of its image is an open subgroup of $J_1 \times \cdots \times J_m$. Upon passing to an open subgroup of finite index, we may assume that the closure of its image is itself a direct product of non-virtually abelian just-infinite pro-p groups. We then invoke Lemma 5.22 and denote by $I \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$ the afforded index set. If there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \setminus I$, then the kernel of the composite map $\overline{[G,G]}R_1/R_1 \to S/R \cong J_1 \times \cdots \times J_m \to J_i$ is open. Since G is amenable, it then follows that J_i has an open subgroup possessing a dense subgroup which is amenable as an abstract group. Since J_i is finitely generated pro-p, every dense subgroup contains a finitely generated dense subgroup. Since J_i is linear (see Theorem 5.18), each finitely generated amenable subgroup is virtually solvable by the Tits alternative [Tit72]. We conclude that J_i must be solvable, which contradicts the fact that it is just-infinite but not virtually abelian. This shows that $I = \{1, \ldots, m\}$. In view of Lemma 5.22, this means that the homomorphism $\overline{[G,G]}R_1/R_1 \to S/R \cong J_1 \times \cdots \times J_m$ maps open subgroups to open subgroups.

Let V be a compact open subgroup of S_1. Thus VR_1/R_1 is open in S_1/R_1, so it has finite image in S/R by the conclusion of the previous paragraph. Therefore it has finite index in S_1/R_1, so that S_1/R_1 is compact. The claim stands proven.

Since Q_1/S is abelian, so is G_1/S_1. Since G/G_1 is finite, we may find an open normal subgroup G_2 of G with $S_1 \leq G_2 \leq G_1$ such that G_2/S_1 is compact. By the claim, the group G_2/R_1 is compact.

By Theorem 5.18, the group U is locally p-elliptic as a discrete group. Therefore, so is U_1. In particular $U_1 \leq \mathrm{Rad}_p(G)$.

By Theorem 5.18, we also have $[Q, R] \leq U$. Therefore $[G_2, R_1] \leq U_1$. Thus the quotient G_2/U_1 has a cocompact center. By Lemma 5.21 it has an open locally elliptic radical. Since Q is pro-p, every compact open subgroup of G is pro-p, so that the locally elliptic radical of G_2/U_1 coincides with its p-radical. This shows that $\mathrm{Rad}_p(G_2/U_1)$ is open in G_2/U_1. Since $U_1 \leq \mathrm{Rad}_p(G_2)$, we infer that $\mathrm{Rad}_p(G_2)$ is open in G_2. Since G_2 is open and normal in G, we have $\mathrm{Rad}_p(G_2) \leq \mathrm{Rad}_p(G)$ and $\mathrm{Rad}_p(G)$ is indeed open. This concludes the proof in case Q is compact.

We assume finally that Q is non-compact. By Theorem 5.20, the group Q is contained in a parabolic subgroup P of $\mathrm{SL}_{d+1}(k)$ so that the closure of the image of Q in the Levi factor is compact. Since the unipotent radical of P is locally p-elliptic as a discrete group, so is its pre-image under φ. Therefore, we map replace the given representation φ by its composite with the projection of P onto its Levi factor. In this way, we are reduced to the case where the closure of the image of φ is compact. That case has already been taken care of. □

5.12 Amenable linear groups have property (M)

We are now able to prove Theorem 1.7, which can be restated as follows.

Theorem 5.23. *Every amenable linear locally compact σ-compact group has property (M).*

Proof. Let G be an amenable locally compact σ-compact group which is linear over a locally compact field k.

If k is connected, then G° is open and solvable-by-compact in view of Theorem 5.23. It then follows from Theorem 1.4 that G° has (M). Therefore G has (M) by Proposition 5.3(iii).

If k is disconnected, then there is a prime p such that $\mathrm{Rad}_p(G)$ is open in G by Theorem 5.23. It then follows from Lemma 5.12 that $\mathrm{Rad}_p(G)$ has (M), hence so does G by Proposition 5.3(iii). □

As a corollary, we recover (a compact extension of) Mostow's theorem.

Corollary 5.24. *Every amenable Lie group has property (M).*

Proof. Let G be an amenable Lie group. Then G° is open and it suffices by Proposition 5.3(iii) to prove the assertion for the connected group G°. The kernel of the adjoint action of a connected Lie group on its Lie algebra is the center of the Lie group. Thus every connected Lie group is central-by-linear. Since property (M) is stable under central extensions (see Proposition 5.2), the required conclusion follows from Theorem 5.23. □

5.12.1 Solvable Lie groups — Mostow's theorem revisited

In this final subsection we sketch an alternative proof for Mostow's original theorem:

Theorem 5.25 ([Mos62])**.** *Every solvable Lie group has property (M).*

Proof. Let G be a compactly generated solvable Lie group and $H \leq G$ a cofinite subgroup. Up to replacing G and H by finite index subgroups we may assume that the commutator $G' = [G, G]$ is nilpotent. We may argue by induction on the nilpotency degree of G', where the base case when G' is trivial follows from Proposition 5.5. Let Z be the center of G'. By induction $(G/Z)/(\overline{HZ}/Z)$ is compact, hence we may assume that HZ is dense in G. It follows that $F = H \cap G'$ is normal in G. Dividing by F we are left to prove that H/F is cocompact in G/F. Since H/F is abelian, the result follows from the following lemma. □

Lemma 5.26. *Let G be a Lie group and H a cofinite abelian subgroup. Then H is cocompact.*

First proof — assuming that G is solvable. Recall that compactly generated solvable Lie groups are Noetherian and note that every compactly generated abelian Lie group admits a lattice. Thus, arguing by induction on the dimension and as in the proof above, we may reduce to the case that $G = T \ltimes U$ where T is tori, U a unipotent abelian group and the action of T on U is locally faithful (the kernel is discrete) and irreducible, $H \leq G$ is a lattice, $H \cap U = \{1\}$ and HU is dense in G. Moreover, unimodularity of G implies in this case that T is compact. Under these conditions, H cannot admit a sequence of nontrivial elements h_n such that $h_n^{g_n}$ converges to the identity. Thus H must be cocompact in G. □

Second proof. By Lemma 4.6 and Proposition 5.3, we may replace G by the identity component G° and H by $H \cap G^\circ$. We assume henceforth that G is connected.

Since H is abelian, hence amenable, so is G. Therefore G is amenable as well, and thus solvable-by-compact. We argue by induction on the solvability degree of the solvable radical of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$. In the case where the solvable radical is trivial, the group G is compact and the result is obvious.

We now assume that the radical of $\mathfrak{g}$ is non-trivial and let A be a maximal non-trivial connected abelian normal subgroup of G. By induction, the closure of the image of H in G/A is cocompact. In other words the group $G_1 = \overline{AH}$ is cocompact in G. Therefore it suffices to show that H is cocompact in G_1. Notice that A and H are both abelian, so that G_1 is metabelian. For the same reason as before we may assume that G_1 is connected.

The group H is abelian, and acts on the connected abelian Lie group A by conjugation. Moreover, upon dividing out a compact normal subgroup (which we can do by Lemma 5.1), we may assume that A is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^d$, where $d = \dim(A)$. Therefore there is a subgroup $B \leq A$ isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{R}^2$ invariant under H. We may further assume that B is a minimal non-trivial closed connected H-invariant subgroup, so that the H-action on B is irreducible.

We can now use induction on $d = \dim(A)$ to deduce that $\overline{BH}$ is cocompact in G_1/B. As before this reduces the problem to showing that H is cocompact in $G_2 = \overline{BH}$. If $B \cong \mathbf{R}$, then G_2 is virtually abelian, hence it has (M) and we are done. If $B \cong \mathbf{R}^2$, then the image of H in $\mathrm{Aut}(B) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ is conjugate to $O(2)$ by irreducibility. Thus G_2 is a closed subgroup of $\mathbf{R}^2 \rtimes O(2)$. Moreover the cofinite group H intersects trivially the group $B \cong \mathbf{R}^2$. Since H is dense in G_2/B, we infer that B contains an element acting as an irrational rotation. Since B is abelian, it follows that the whole group B acts as a group of rotation on $\mathbf{R}^2$. Therefore B must be a compact subgroup of G_2, which contradicts that H is cofinite. □

References

[Abe72] Herbert Abels, *Kompakt definierbare topologische Gruppen*, Math. Ann. **197** (1972), 221–233 (German). ↑14

[BL11] Bachir Bekka and Alexander Lubotzky, *Lattices with and lattices without spectral gap*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **5** (2011), no. 2, 251–264. ↑6

[BQ14] Yves Benoist and Jean-François Quint, *Lattices in s-adic Lie groups*, J. Lie Theory **24** (2014), no. 1, 179–197. ↑3

[BL00] Yoav Benyamini and Joram Lindenstrauss, *Geometric nonlinear functional analysis. Vol. 1*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 48, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. ↑10

[Bor91] Armand Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. ↑30

[Bou72] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXVII. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre II: Algèbres de Lie libres. Chapitre III: Groupes de Lie*, Hermann, Paris, 1972. Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1349. ↑30

[Bou00] Marc Bourdon, *Sur les immeubles fuchsiens et leur type de quasi-isométrie*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **20** (2000), no. 2, 343–364 (French, with English and French summaries). ↑18

[CM11] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Nicolas Monod, *Decomposing locally compact groups into simple pieces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **150** (2011), no. 1, 97–128. ↑21, 33

[CM13] ———, *Fixed points and amenability in non-positive curvature*, Math. Ann. **356** (2013), no. 4, 1303–1337. ↑32, 33

[CS15] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Thierry Stulemeijer, *Totally disconnected locally compact groups with a linear open subgroup*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **24** (2015), 13800–13829. ↑31, 33

[Gui73] Yves Guivarc'h, *Croissance polynomiale et périodes des fonctions harmoniques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **101** (1973), 333–379 (French). ↑21, 23

[GR06] Yves Guivarc'h and Bertrand Rémy, *Group-theoretic compactification of Bruhat-Tits buildings*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **39** (2006), no. 6, 871–920 (English, with English and French summaries). ↑32

[HN09] Karl Heinrich Hofmann and Karl-Hermann Neeb, *The compact generation of closed subgroups of locally compact groups*, J. Group Theory **12** (2009), no. 4, 555–559. ↑23

[MŚ59] A. M. Macbeath and S. Świerczkowski, *On the set of generators of a subgroup*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 62 = Indag. Math. **21** (1959), 280–281. ↑13

[Mar91] G. A. Margulis, *Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 17, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991. ↑5, 9

[Mos62] G. D. Mostow, *Homogeneous spaces with finite invariant measure*, Ann. of Math. (2) **75** (1962), 17–37. ↑2, 35

[Pin98] Richard Pink, *Compact subgroups of linear algebraic groups*, J. Algebra **206** (1998), no. 2, 438–504. ↑30, 31

[Pla65] V. P. Platonov, *Locally projectively nilpotent radical in topological groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk BSSR **9** (1965), 573–577 (Russian). ↑15

[Rag72] M. S. Raghunathan, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 68. ↑2, 22

[Rob78] Alain Robert, *Exemples de groupes de Fell*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **287** (1978), no. 8, A603–A606 (French, with English summary). ↑7

[Sch80] Klaus Schmidt, *Asymptotically invariant sequences and an action of* SL(2, **Z**) *on the* 2*-sphere*, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), no. 3, 193–208. ↑5

[Sch81] ———, *Amenability, Kazhdan's property T, strong ergodicity and invariant means for ergodic group-actions*, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems **1** (1981), no. 2, 223–236. ↑5, 6

[Ser71] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Cohomologie des groupes discrets*, Prospects in mathematics (Proc. Sympos., Princeton Univ., Princeton, N.J., 1970), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971, pp. 77–169. Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 70 (French). ↑18

[Tit72] J. Tits, *Free subgroups in linear groups*, J. Algebra **20** (1972), 250–270. ↑34

[Wei67] André Weil, *Basic number theory*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 144, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1967. ↑33

[Wil97] G. Willis, *Totally disconnected, nilpotent, locally compact groups*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **55** (1997), no. 1, 143–146. ↑14, 21, 22